

JUL 22 2008

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

REY TOMAS COLMENARES M.; et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 07-74769

Agency Nos. A97-856-096
A78-113-002

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 14, 2008**

Before: SCHROEDER, LEAVY and IKUTA, Circuit Judges.

This is a petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA")
order denying petitioners' motion to reconsider an order denying cancellation of
removal.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without
oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Respondent's motion for summary disposition is granted because the questions raised by this petition for review are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard). We review denials of motions to reconsider and reopen for abuse of discretion. *Lara-Torres v. Ashcroft*, 383 F.3d 968, 972 (9th Cir. 2004), *amended by* 404 F.3d 1105 (9th Cir. 2005). Here, a review of the record shows that the BIA did not abuse its discretion when it concluded that the motion to reopen failed to set forth specific errors of law or fact in the BIA's prior order. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(b). Accordingly, this petition for review is denied.

All other pending motions are denied as moot. The temporary stay of removal shall continue in effect until issuance of the mandate.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.